# Answers.com<sup>®</sup>

# Radioisotope thermoelectric generator

# Wikipedia: Radioisotope thermoelectric generator



Diagram of an RTG used on the Cassini probe

A **radioisotope thermoelectric generator** (**RTG**, **RITEG**) is an <u>electrical generator</u> that obtains its power from <u>radioactive decay</u>. In such a device, the <u>heat</u> released by the decay of a suitable <u>radioactive</u> material is converted into <u>electricity</u> by the <u>Seebeck effect</u> using an array of thermocouples.

RTGs can be considered as a type of <u>battery</u> and have been used as power sources in <u>satellites</u>, <u>space probes</u> and unmanned remote facilities, such as a series of lighthouses built by the former Soviet Union inside the Arctic Circle. RTGs are usually the most desirable power source for unmanned or unmaintained situations needing a few hundred watts or less of power for durations too long for fuel cells, batteries and generators to provide economically, and in places where solar cells are not viable.

Contents [hide]

- 1 History
- 2 Design
- 3 Fuels
- 3.1 Criteria 0
  - 3.2 Selection of isotopes
  - 3.3 <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>90</sup>Sr
  - 3.4 210Po
  - 3.5 Cm, <sup>241</sup>Am
- 4 Life span
- 5 Efficiency
- Safety • 6.1 Radioactive contamination
- 6.2 Nuclear fission
- 7 Models
  - 7.1 Space
- 7.2 Terrestrial
- See also 9 References
- 10 External links

# History



Image of a mostly thermally-isolated plutonium RTG pellet glowing red hot.

The first RTG launched in space by the United States was in 1961 aboard the SNAP 3 in the Navy Transit 4A spacecraft. One of the first terrestrial uses of RTGs was in 1966 by the US Navy at the uninhabited Fairway Rock Island in Alaska, where it remained in use until its removal in 1995.

A common application of RTGs is as power sources on spacecraft. <u>Systems Nuclear Auxiliary Power Program</u> (SNAP) units were used especially for probes that travel far enough from the Sun that <u>solar panels</u> are no longer viable. As such they are used with <u>Pioneer 10</u>, <u>Pioneer 11</u>, <u>Voyager 1</u>, <u>Voyager 2</u>, <u>Galileo</u>, <u>Ulysses</u>, <u>Cassini</u> and <u>New Horizons</u>. In addition, RTGs were used to power the two <u>Viking</u> landers and for the scientific experiments left on the Moon by the crews of <u>Apollo 12</u> through <u>17</u> (SNAP 27s). Because <u>Apollo 13</u> was aborted, its RTG now rests in the <u>South</u> <u>Pacific ocean</u>, in the vicinity of the <u>Tonga Trench</u>. RTGs were also used for the <u>Nimbus</u>, <u>Transit</u> and <u>LES</u> satellites. By comparison, only a few space vehicles have been launched using full-fledged <u>nuclear reactors</u>: the Soviet <u>RORSAT</u> series and the American <u>SNAP-10A</u>.

In addition to spacecraft, the <u>Soviet Union</u> constructed many unmanned <u>lighthouses</u> and navigation beacons powered by  $RTGs^{[1]}$ . Powered by  $\frac{90Sr}{r}$ , they are very reliable and provide a steady source of power. However, critics argue that they could cause environmental and security problems, as leakage or theft of the radioactive material could pass unnoticed for years (or possibly forever: some of these lighthouses cannot be found because of poor record keeping). There has even been an instance where the radioactive compartments were opened by a thief<sup>[2]</sup>. There was also the case of three woodcutters in the nation of <u>Georgia</u> who came across one of these units and slept close to it as a heat source during a cold night. They were later hospitalized with severe radiation burns.<sup>[3]</sup> The unit was eventually recovered and isolated.<sup>[4]</sup>

There are approximately 1,000 such RTGs in Russia. All of them have long exhausted their 10-year engineered life spans. They are likely no longer functional, and may be in need of dismantling. Some of them have become the prey of metal hunters, who strip the RTGs' metal casings, regardless of the risk of radioactive contamination.

RTGs are also utilized by the <u>United States Air Force</u> to power remote sensing stations for Top-ROCC and Save-Igloo radar systems predominantly located in <u>Alaska.[6]</u>

In the past, small "plutonium cells" (very small <sup>238</sup>Pu-powered RTGs) were used in implanted <u>heart pacemakers</u> to ensure a very long "battery life". As of 2004 about 90 were still in use. If the wearer dies and the generator is not removed before cremation the device will be subject to great heat. It is unlikely however, if the plutonium is in the form of the dioxide, that contamination will occur. Note that plutonium 238 is more able to disperse than plutonium 239, but the dioxide is an air stable solid which is normally <u>sintered</u> in air at a temperature much higher than that used in the <u>cremation</u> of human remains (although they are designed to survive cremation).

Although not strictly RTGs, similar units called <u>radioisotope heater units</u> are also used by various spacecraft including the <u>Mars Exploration</u> <u>Rovers</u>, <u>Galileo</u> and <u>Cassini</u>. These devices use small samples of radioactive material to produce heat directly, instead of electricity.

# Design

The design of an RTG is simple by the standards of <u>nuclear technology</u>: the main component is a sturdy container of a radioactive material (the fuel). <u>Thermocouples</u> are placed in the walls of the container, with the outer end of each thermocouple connected to a <u>heat sink</u>. Radioactive decay of the fuel produces heat which flows through the thermocouples to the heat sink, generating electricity in the process.

A thermocouple is a <u>thermoelectric</u> device that converts thermal <u>energy</u> directly into electrical energy using the <u>Seebeck effect</u>. It is made of two kinds of metal (or semiconductors) that can both conduct electricity. They are connected to each other in a closed loop. If the two junctions are at different <u>temperatures</u>, an electric current will flow in the loop.

# Fuels



Inspection of <u>Cassini spacecraft</u> RTGs before launch



<u>New Horizons</u> in assembly hall

#### Criteria

The radioactive material used in RTGs must have several characteristics:

- The <u>half-life</u> must be long enough that it will produce energy at a relatively continuous rate for a reasonable amount of time. However, at the same time, the half-life needs to be short enough so that it decays sufficiently quickly to generate a usable amount of heat. Typical half-lives for <u>radioisotopes</u> used in RTGs are therefore several decades, although <u>isotopes</u> with shorter half-lives could be used for specialized applications.
- For spaceflight use, the fuel must produce a large amount of energy per mass and volume (density). Density and weight are not as important

for terrestrial use, unless there are size restrictions.

The <u>decay energy</u> can be calculated if the energy of radioactive radiation or the mass loss before and after radioactive decay is known.
 It should produce high energy radiation that is easily absorbed and transferred into thermal radiation, preferably <u>alpha radiation</u>. <u>Beta radiation</u> can give off considerable amounts of <u>Gamma/X-ray radiation</u> through <u>bremsstrahlung</u> secondary radiation production, thus requiring heavy shielding. Isotopes must not produce significant amounts of gamma, <u>neutron radiation</u> or penetrating radiation in general through other <u>decay modes</u> or <u>decay chain</u> products.

#### Selection of isotopes

The first two criteria limit the number of possible fuels to fewer than 30 atomic isotopes within the entire <u>table of nuclides</u>. <u>Plutonium-238</u>, <u>Curium-244</u> and <u>Strontium-90</u> are the most often cited candidate isotopes, but other isotopes such as <u>Polonium-210</u>, <u>Promethium-147</u>, <u>Caesium-137</u>, <u>Cerium-144</u>, <u>Ruthenium-106</u>, <u>Cobalt-60</u>, <u>Curium-242</u> and <u>Thulium</u> isotopes have also been studied.

## <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>90</sup>Sr

<sup>238</sup>Pu has the lowest shielding requirements and longest half-life. Only three candidate isotopes meet the last criterion (not all are listed above) and need less than 25 mm of <u>lead</u> shielding to keep radiation. <sup>238</sup>Pu (the best of these three) needs less than 2.5 mm, and in many cases no shielding is needed in a <sup>238</sup>Pu RTG, as the casing itself is adequate.

 $^{238}$ Pu has become the most widely used fuel for RTGs, in the form of <u>plutonium(IV) oxide</u> (PuO<sub>2</sub>).  $^{238}$ Pu has a half-life of 87.7 years, reasonable energy density and exceptionally low gamma and neutron radiation levels. Some Russian terrestrial RTGs have used  $^{90}$ Sr; this isotope has a shorter half-life, much lower energy density and produces gamma radiation, but is cheaper.

#### 210Po

#### Cm, <sup>241</sup>Am

<sup>242</sup>Cm and <sup>244</sup>Cm have also been studied well, but require heavy shielding from gamma and neutron radiation produced from <u>spontaneous fission</u>.

<u>Americium-241</u> is a potential candidate isotope with a longer half-life than <sup>238</sup>Pu: <sup>241</sup>Am has a half-life of 432 years and could hypothetically power a device for centuries. However, the energy density of <sup>241</sup>Am is only 1/4 that of <sup>238</sup>Pu, and <sup>241</sup>Am produces more penetrating radiation through decay chain products than <sup>238</sup>Pu and needs about 18 mm worth of lead shielding. Even so, its shielding requirements in an RTG are the second lowest of all possible isotopes: only <sup>238</sup>Pu requires less.

#### Life span



Soviet RTGs in dilapidated and vandalized condition, powered by Strontium-90 90 Sr.

Most RTGs use  $^{238}$ Pu which decays with a half-life of 87.7 years. RTGs using this material will therefore diminish in power output by 1 - 0.5<sup>1</sup> / <sup>87.7</sup> or 0.787% of their capacity per year. 23 years after production, such an RTG will have decreased in power by 1 - 0.5<sup>2</sup> / <sup>87.7</sup> or 16.6%, i.e. providing 83.4% of its initial output. Thus, with a starting capacity of 470 W, after 23 years it would have a capacity of 0.834 \* 470 W = 392 W. However, the bi-metallic thermocouples used to convert thermal energy into <u>electrical energy</u> degrade as well; at the beginning of 2001, the power generated by the Voyager RTGs had dropped to 315 W for Voyager 1 and to 319 W for Voyager 2. Therefore in early 2001, the thermocouples were working at about 80% of their original capacity.

This life span was of particular importance during the <u>Galileo</u> mission. Originally intended to launch in 1986, it was delayed by the <u>Space Shuttle</u> <u>Challenger accident</u>. Due to this unforeseen event the probe had to sit in storage for 4 years before launching in 1989. Subsequently, its RTGs had decayed somewhat, necessitating replanning the power budget for the mission.

# Efficiency

RTGs use thermoelectric couples or "thermocouples" to convert heat from the radioactive material into electricity. Thermocouples, though very reliable and long-lasting, are very inefficiencies above 10% have never been achieved and most RTGs have efficiencies between 3-7%. Thermoelectric materials in space missions to date have included silicon germanium alloys, lead telluride and tellurides of antimony, germanium and silver (TAGS). Studies have been done on improving efficiency by using other technologies to generate electricity from heat. Achieving higher

efficiency would mean less radioactive fuel is needed to produce the same amount of power, and therefore a lighter overall weight for the generator. This is a critically important factor in spaceflight launch cost considerations.

A <u>thermionic converter</u> -- an energy conversion device which relies on the principle of <u>thermionic</u> emission -- can achieve efficiencies between 10-20%, but requires higher temperatures than those at which standard RTGs run. Some prototype <sup>210</sup>Po RTGs have used thermionics, and potentially other extremely radioactive isotopes could also provide power by this means, but short half-lives make these infeasible. Several space-bound nuclear reactors have used thermionics, but nuclear reactors are usually too heavy to use on most space probes.

Thermophotovoltaic cells work by the same principles as a photovoltaic cell, except that they convert infrared light emitted by a hot surface rather than visible light into electricity. Thermophotovoltaic cells have an efficiency slightly higher than thermocouples and can be overlaid on top of thermocouples, potentially doubling efficiency. Systems with radioisotope generators simulated by electric heaters have demonstrated efficiencies of 20%[2], but have not been tested with actual radioisotopes. Some theoretical thermophotovoltaic cell designs have efficiencies up to 30%, but these have yet to be built or confirmed. Thermophotovoltaic cells and silicon thermocouples degrade faster than thermocouples, especially in the presence of ionizing radiation.

Dynamic generators can provide power at more than 4 times the conversion efficiency of RTGs. NASA and DOE have been developing a next-generation radioisotope-fueled power source called the <u>Stirling Radioisotope Generator</u> (SRG) that uses free-piston <u>Stirling engines</u> coupled to linear alternators to convert heat to electricity. SRG prototypes demonstrated an average efficiency of 23%. Greater efficiency can be achieved by increasing the temperature ratio between the hot and cold ends of the generator. The use of non-contacting moving parts, non-degrading <u>flexural bearings</u>, and a lubrication-free and hermetically sealed environment have, in test units, demonstrated no appreciable degradation over years of operation. Experimental results demonstrate that an SRG could continue running for decades without maintenance. Vibration can be eliminated as a concern by implementation of dynamic balancing or use of dual-opposed piston movement. Potential applications of a Stirling radioisotope power system include exploration and science missions to deep-space, Mars, and the Moon.

# Safety



Diagram of a stack of <u>general purpose heat</u> <u>source</u> modules as used in RTGs

#### **Radioactive contamination**

RTGs may pose a risk of <u>radioactive contamination</u>: if the container holding the fuel leaks, the radioactive material may contaminate the environment.

For spacecraft, the main concern is that if an accident were to occur during launch or a subsequent passage of a spacecraft close to Earth, harmful material could be released into the atmosphere; and their use in spacecraft and elsewhere has attracted controversy. [2][8]

However, this event is not considered likely with current RTG cask designs. For instance, the environmental impact study for the Cassini-Huygens probe launched in 1997 estimated the probability of contamination accidents at various stages in the mission. The probability of an accident occurring which caused radioactive release from one or more of its 3 RTGs (or from its 129 <u>radioisotope heater units</u>) during the first 3.5 minutes following launch was estimated at 1 in 1,400; the chances of a release later in the ascent into orbit were 1 in 476; after that the likelihood of an accidental release fell off sharply to less than 1 in a million.<sup>[9]</sup> If an accident which had the potential to cause contamination occurred during the launch phases (such as the spacecraft failing to reach orbit), the probability of contamination actually being caused by the RTGs was estimated at about 1 in 10.<sup>[10]</sup> In any event, the launch was successful and Cassini-Huygens reached <u>Saturn</u>.

The <u>plutonium 238</u> used in these RTGs has a <u>half-life</u> of 87.74 years, in contrast to the 24,110 year half-life of <u>plutonium 239</u> used in <u>nuclear</u> <u>weapons</u> and <u>reactors</u>. A consequence of the shorter half life is that plutonium 238 is about 275 times more radioactive than plutonium 239 (i.e. 17.3 <u>Ci/g</u> compared to 0.063 Ci/g<sup>[11]</sup>). For instance, 3.6 kg of plutonium 238 undergoes the same number of radioactive decays per second as 1 tonne of plutonium 239. Since the morbidity of the two isotopes in terms of absorbed radioactivity is almost exactly the same<sup>[12]</sup>, plutonium 238 is around 275 times more toxic by weight than plutonium 239.

The alpha radiation emitted by either isotope will not penetrate the skin, but it can irradiate internal organs if plutonium is inhaled or ingested. Particularly at risk is the <u>skeleton</u>, the surface of which is likely to absorb the isotope, and the <u>liver</u>, where the isotope will collect and become concentrated.

There have been six known accidents involving RTG-powered spacecraft. The first one was a launch failure on 21 April 1964 in which the U.S. <u>Transit-5BN-3</u> navigation satellite failed to achieve orbit and burnt up on re-entry north of <u>Madagascar</u>.[13][14] The 17,000 Ci (630 <u>TBq</u>) plutonium metal fuel in its <u>SNAP-9</u>a RTG was injected into the atmosphere over the Southern Hemisphere where it burnt up, and traces of plutonium 238 were detected in the area a few months later. The second was the Nimbus B-1 weather satellite whose launch vehicle was deliberately destroyed shortly after launch on 21 May 1968 because of erratic trajectory. Launched from the <u>Vandenberg Air Force Base</u>, its SNAP-19 RTG containing relatively inert <u>plutonium dioxide</u> was recovered intact from the seabed in the <u>Santa Barbara Channel</u> five months later and no environmental contamination was detected. [15]

Two more were failures of Soviet <u>Cosmos</u> missions containing RTG-powered lunar rovers in 1969, both of which released radioactivity as they burnt up. There were also five failures involving Soviet or Russian spacecraft which were carrying nuclear reactors rather than RTGs between 1973 and 1993 (see <u>RORSAT</u>). [16]



A SNAP-27 RTG deployed by the astronauts of Apollo 14 identical to the one lost in the reentry of Apollo 13

The failure of the <u>Apollo 13</u> mission in April 1970 meant that the <u>Lunar Module</u> reentered the atmosphere carrying an RTG and burnt up over <u>Fiji</u>. It carried a SNAP-27 RTG containing 44,500 curies (1,650 TBq) of plutonium dioxide which survived reentry into the Earth's atmosphere intact, as it was designed to do, the trajectory being arranged so that it would plunge into 6-9 kilometers of water in the <u>Tonga trench</u> in the <u>Pacific Ocean</u>. The absence of plutonium 238 contamination in atmospheric and seawater sampling confirmed the assumption that the cask is intact on the seabed. The cask is expected to contain the fuel for at least 10 half-lives (i.e. 870 years). The US Department of Energy has conducted seawater tests and determined that the graphite casing, which was designed to withstand reentry, is stable and no release of plutonium should occur. Subsequent to the high re-entry velocities of the craft returning from <u>cislunar space</u>. This accident has served to validate the design of later-generation RTGs as highly safe.

To minimize the risk of the radioactive material being released, the fuel is stored in individual modular units with their own heat shielding. They are surrounded by a layer of <u>iridium</u> metal and encased in high-strength <u>graphite</u> blocks. These two materials are corrosion- and heat-resistant. Surrounding the graphite blocks is an aeroshell, designed to protect the entire assembly against the heat of reentering the earth's atmosphere. The plutonium fuel is also stored in a ceramic form that is heat-resistant, minimising the risk of vaporization and aerosolization. The ceramic is also highly <u>insoluble</u>.

The most recent accident involving a spacecraft RTG was the failure of the Russian Mars <u>96</u> probe launch on 16 November 1996. The two RTGs onboard carried in total 200 g of plutonium and are assumed to have survived reentry (as they were designed to do). They are thought to now lie somewhere in a northeast-southwest running oval 320 km long by 80 km wide which is centred 32 km east of <u>Iquique</u>, <u>Chile</u>.

Many <u>Beta-M</u> RTGs produced by the Soviet Union to power <u>lighthouses</u> and <u>beacons</u> have become <u>orphaned sources</u> of radiation. Several of these units have been illegally dismantled for scrap metal resulting in the complete exposure of the <u>Sr-90</u> source, fallen into the ocean, or have defective shielding due to poor design or physical damage. The <u>US Department of Defense</u> cooperative threat reduction program has expressed concern that material from the Beta-M RTGs can be used by <u>terrorists</u> to construct a <u>dirty bomb</u>. [3]

The NASA claims 28 U.S. space missions have safely flown radioisotope energy sources since 1961.[18]

#### **Nuclear fission**

RTGs and <u>nuclear power</u> reactors use very different nuclear reactions. Nuclear power reactors use controlled <u>nuclear fission</u>. When an atom of U-235 or Pu-239 fuel fissions, neutrons are released that trigger additional fissions in a <u>chain reaction</u> at a rate that can be controlled with neutron absorbers. This is an advantage in that power can be varied with demand or shut off entirely for maintenance. It is also a disadvantage in that care is needed to avoid uncontrolled operation at dangerously high power levels.

Chain reactions do not occur in RTGs, so heat is produced at a fully predictable and steadily decreasing rate that depends only on the amount of fuel isotope and its half-life. An accidental power excursion is impossible. On the other hand, heat generation cannot be varied with demand or shut off when not needed. Auxiliary power supplies (such as rechargeable batteries) may be needed to meet peak demand, and adequate cooling must be provided at all times including the prelaunch and early flight phases of a space mission.

There are no <u>nuclear proliferation</u> risks associated with plutonium-238. The same properties, primarily its high specific power, that make it a desirable RTG fuel make it useless in nuclear weapons. Pu-238 is <u>fissionable</u>, not <u>fissile</u>. It will occasionally spontaneously fission instead of undergoing alpha decay or it can be induced to fission with an external source of fast neutrons produced by various <u>fusion</u> reactions, but it cannot sustain the chain-reaction needed in a nuclear weapon fission primary. Because of its relatively high spontaneous fission rate compared with that of the fissile bomb fuel isotope Pu-239, its presence even as a contaminant would degrade performance by increasing the likelihood of a <u>fizzle</u>, a low yield caused by premature initiation of the chain reaction before optimum conditions have been reached. Any significant amounts of Pu-238 would also generate heat that would have to be continually dissipated until the bomb was used.

Pu-238 could in principle be used as the tertiary stage to boost the yield of a fission-fusion-fission (thermonuclear) weapon, but there is no reason to use it in this way. Natural or even <u>depleted uranium</u> will also fission with fast fusion neutrons, is far more readily available, and generates essentially no heat in storage.

Pu-238 could conceivably be used in a radiological or dirty bomb to exploit the significant public fear of plutonium.

## Models

Space

	MHW	=	Multi-Hundred	Wat
--	-----	---	---------------	-----

Name & Model	Used On (# of RTGs per User)	Maximum output		Radio-	Max fuel	Maga (kg)
		Electrical ( <u>W</u> )	Heat (W)	isotope	used (kg)	Mass (Kg)
SRG*	in prototype phase, <u>MSL</u>	~110 (2x55)	~500	<sup>238</sup> Pu	~1	~34
<u>MMRTG</u>	in prototype phase, <u>MSL</u>	~110	~2000	<sup>238</sup> Pu	~4	<45
<u>GPHS-RTG</u>	Cassini (3), New Horizons (1), Galileo (2), Ulysses (1)	300	4400	<sup>238</sup> Pu	7.8	55.9-57.8 <mark>[19]</mark>
MHW-RTG	LES-8/9, <u>Voyager 1 (3)</u> , <u>Voyager 2 (3)</u>	160 <mark>[19]</mark>	2400 <mark>[20]</mark>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	~4.5	37.7 <mark>[19]</mark>
SNAP-3B	Transit-4A (1)	2.7 <mark>[19]</mark>	52.5 <mark>[20]</mark>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	?	2.1 <mark>[19]</mark>
SNAP-9A	Transit 5BN1/2 (1)	25 <mark>[19]</mark>	525 <mark>[20]</mark>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	~1	12.3 <mark>[19]</mark>
SNAP-19	Nimbus-3 (2), <u>Pioneer 10 (4)</u> , <u>Pioneer 11 (4)</u>	40.3 <mark>[19]</mark>	525	<sup>238</sup> Pu	~1	13.6 <mark>[19]</mark>
modified SNAP-19	<u>Viking 1 (2)</u> , Viking 2 (2)	42.7 <mark>[19]</mark>	525	<sup>238</sup> Pu	~1	15.2 <mark>[19]</mark>
SNAP-27	Apollo 12-17 ALSEP (1)	73	1480	<sup>238</sup> Pu	3.8	20

• The SRG is in fact not an RTG; it is a stirling power device.

## Terrestrial

Name & Model	Used On (# of RTGs per User)	Maximum output		Padioisotopo	Max fuel used	Mass (kg)
		Electrical (W)	Heat (W)	Radioisotope	(kg)	
<u>Beta-M</u>	Obsolete Soviet unmanned lighthouses & beacons	10	230	<sup>90</sup> Sr	0.26	560
Efir-MA		30	720	?	?	1250
IEU-1		80	2200	?	?	2500
IEU-2		14	580	?	?	600
Gong		18	315	?	?	600
Gorn		60	1100	<sup>90</sup> Sr	?	1050
IEU-2M		20	690	?	?	600
IEU-1M		120 (180)	2200 (3300)	?	?	2(3) × 1050
Sentinel 25 [21]		9 - 20		SrTiO <sub>J</sub>	0.54	907 - 1814
Sentinel 100F <sup>[21]</sup>		53		Sr <sub>2</sub> Ti0 <sub>4</sub>	1.77	1234

# See also

(m)				
100	Sustainable	develo	nment	nortal
	Dustamubic	acreio	pincin	portui

- Radioactive isotope
- Atomic battery
- Betavoltaics
- Optoelectric nuclear battery
- Radioisotope heater units Alkali-metal thermal to electric converter

# References

- <u>^ Bellona report on Russian RTGs</u>
- ibid. (See Reference 41 in the report.)
   <u>Nuclear Free Texas</u>, Dallas Peace Center, 2.
- 3. http://dallaspeacecenter.org/Nuclear/Civilian, retrieved 2009-05-09
- ^ IAEA Bulletin Volume 48, No.1 Remote Control: 4. Decommissioning RTGs, Malgorzata K. Sneve, http://www.iaea.org/Publications/Magazines/Bulletin/Bull481/pdfs/r14.pd retrieved 2009-07-11
- 5. ^ Report by Minister of Atomic Energy Alexander Rumyantsev at
- 6.
- Alaska fire threatens air force nukes, <u>WISE</u>
   <u>Nuclear-powered NASA craft to zoom by Earth on Tuesday</u>, CNN 7 news report, 16 August 1999
- <u>Valley says pee-eww to plutonium plan</u>, Idaho Mountain Express 8.
- and Guide, 22 July 2005 <u>Cassini Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</u>, Chapter 4, NASA, September 1997 (Links to other chapters and 9. associated documents can be found at [1])
- 10. <u>^ Cassini Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</u>,

Appendix D, Summary of tables of safety analysis results, Table D-1 on page D-4, see conditional probability column for GPHS-RTG <u>Physical</u>, Nuclear, and Chemical, Properties of Plutonium, IEER 11. Factsheet <u>Mortality and Morbidity Risk Coefficients for Selected</u> 12. Radionuclides, Argonne National Laboratory <u>astronautix.com</u> 13. www.icsu-scope.org/downloadpubs/scope50/chapter02.html#t2.10 A. Angelo Jr. and D. Buden (1985). Space Nuclear Power. Krieger A Report by Minister of Atomic Energy Alexander Kumpanisev at the IAEA conference "Security of Radioactive Sources," Vienna, Austria. March 11th 2003 (Internet Archive copy), http://web.archive.org/web/20030806043406/http://www.iaea.org/Workdatams/Dects/fedines/NASA retrieved 2009-10-10
 A Angelo J. and D. Buden (1903). Space Nuclear Forces. Kneger Publishing Company. ISBN 0894640003.
 A Angelo J. and D. Buden (1903). Space Nuclear Forces. Kneger Publishing Company. ISBN 0894640003.
 A Angelo J. and D. Buden (1903). Space Nuclear Forces. Kneger Publishing Company. ISBN 0894640003.
 Anstria. March 11th 2003 (Internet Archive copy), Austria. March 11th 2003 (Internet Archive copy), Norkdatams/Dects/fedines/NASA NASA: Enabling Exploration: Small Radioisotope Power Systems 19. A b c d e f g h i j k http://www.fas.org/nuke/space/bennett0706.pdf "Space Nuclear Power" G.L.Bennett 2006

- 20.
- http://www.totse.com/en/technology/space\_astronomy\_nasa/spac ^ a b Power Sources for Remote Arctic Applications, Washington, 21.
- DC: U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, June 1994, OTA-BP-ETI-129, http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/ota/Ota\_1/DATA/1994/9423.PDF

- Safety discussion of the RTGs used on the Cassini-Huygens
- Bellona's report on RTG lighthouses.
- Nuclear Power in Space (PDF)
   Detailed report on Cassini RTG (PDF)
   Detailed lecture on RTG fuels (PDF)

# **External links**

- Detailed chart of all radioisotopes
   Stirling Thermoelectic Generator
   Toxicity profile for plutonium, Agency for Toxic substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, December 1990
   Environmental Impact of Cassini-Huygens Mission.
   Expanding Frontiers with Radioisotope Power Systems (PDF)

🧿 Wikin	nedia Comr	nons has media related to: Radioisotope thermoelectric generators					
<ul> <li>NASA</li> <li>Space</li> <li>Stirling</li> <li>DOE</li> <li>Idaho</li> </ul>	A JPL briefin eViews: The ng Radioiso contributior o National L	ig, Expanding Frontiers with Radioisotope Power Systems - gives RTG information and a link to a long e Cassini RTG Debate tope Generator ns - good links aboratory - Producer of RTGs	er presentation				
<u>v • d • e</u>		Nuclear technology	[ <u>hide]</u>				
Science	Physics • F	Fission • Fusion • Radiation (ionizing) • Nucleus • Chemistry • Engineering					
<u>Fuel</u>	Fissile • Fertile • Thorium • Uranium (enriched • depleted) • Plutonium • Deuterium • Tritium • Isotope separation						
<u>Neutron</u>	Temp • Thermal • Fast • Fusion • Cross section • Capture • Activation • Poison • Radiation • Generator • Reflector						
	<u>Water</u>	Pressurized (PWR) • Boiling (BWR) • Supercritical (SCWR) • Heavy (PHWR • CANDU • SGHWR)					
Fission	<u>Carbon</u>	Pebble bed (PBMR) • Very high temperature (VHTR) • UHTREX • RBMK • Magnox • AGR					
reactors by moderator	<u>FLiBe</u>	Molten salt (MSR)					
	None <u>(Fast)</u>	Breeder (FBR) • Liquid-metal-cooled (LMFR) • Integral (IFR) • Traveling Wave (TWR) • SSTAR Generation IV by coolant: (Gas (GFR) • Lead (LFR) • Sodium (SFR))					
Power	By country	$ \cdot \underline{Economics} \cdot \underline{Safety} \cdot \underline{Fusion} \cdot \mathbf{Isotope \ thermoelectric} \ (\mathbf{RTG}) \cdot \underline{Propulsion} \ (\underline{rocket}) $					
Medicine	<u>Imaging</u>	Gamma camera based: Scintigraphy • Positron emission (PET) • Single photon emission (SPECT) X-ray based: Projectional radiography • Computed tomography					
	Therapy	$\frac{\text{Radiation therapy}}{\text{Radiation therapy}} \cdot \frac{\text{Tomotherapy}}{\text{Proton}} \cdot \frac{\text{Brachytherapy}}{\text{Boron neutron capture (BNCT)}}$					
<u>Weapon</u>	Topics	History • Design • War • Race • Explosion (effects) • Test (underground) • Delivery • Proliferation • Yield (TNTe)					
	Lists	States • Tests • Weapons • Free zones • Treaties • Pop culture					
<u>Waste</u>	Products	Fission (LLFP) • Activation • Actinide: (Reprocessed uranium • Reactor-grade plutonium • Minor acti	nide)				
	Disposal	Fuel cycle       Spent fuel (pool       cask)       HLW       Repository       Reprocessing       Transmutation					

This entry is from Wikipedia, the leading user-contributed encyclopedia. It may not have been reviewed by professional editors (see full disclaimer)

Donate to Wikimedia

Answers.com<sup>®</sup> | *I*| ReferenceAnswers™ world's leading Q&A site

Related Videos: Radioisoto

English

Learn More GPHS-RTG

- Would it be legal to install a radioisotope thermoelectric generator in a residential home? Read answer...
- What are the types of radioisotopes?
   Read answer...
- What is the kinds of radioisotopes? Read answer...

#### Help us answer these

- What is the construction and working of a radioisotope thermoelectric generator?
- What are advantages to thermoelectric?
- Who improved Thermoelectricity?

Post a question - any question - to the WikiAnswers community:

